

**STATUS AS OF 07/04/2021**

**Form BEEF**

**DESCRIPTION OF BEEF NATIONAL GENETIC EVALUATION SYSTEM**

**Country (or countries): Ireland (IRL)**

**Trait group: Carcass conformation**

**DATA COLLECTION**

<b>Breed(s)</b>	All beef breeds
<b>Trait definition</b>	Carcass conformation (score)
<b>Method and frequency of measurement</b>	Carcass conformation trait is measured in meat factories using automatic (VIA) carcass quality grading. Carcass conformation is scored along the EUROP grid (see <a href="http://ec.europa.eu/agriculture/quality/quality-infrastructure/docs/Classification_of_carcasses_of_bovine_animals_aged_eight_months_or_more.pdf">Classification of carcasses of bovine animals aged eight months or more (europa.eu)</a> )
<b>Who does the performance recording?</b>	Recording is done within factories.
<b>Method of collecting data</b>	All factory data is uploaded to the ICBF database on a weekly basis.
<b>Which animals get recorded?</b>	All animals slaughtered in Ireland get a conformation score.
<b>Is birthday recorded?</b>	yes
<b>Is day of recording available?</b>	yes
<b>Are the data adjusted and/or selected? If yes please describe the methodology applied</b>	No adjustment per-se. However, carcass conformation scores are transformed from a 5-points scale to a linear 15-points scale (Hickey et al., 2007).
<b>Time period for inclusion of records</b>	No
<b>Criteria (data edits) for inclusion of records</b>	Age > 12months
<b>Is embryo transfer applied? How are ET animals been identified?<sup>1</sup> Is recipient mother ID recorded?</b>	'ET' recorded in the animal name – Not an issue for this evaluation.
<b>How do you treat incomplete data?</b>	Missing data are coded -999 for evaluation.
<b>MODEL</b>	
<b>Model used for genetic evaluation<sup>2a</sup></b>	AM-MT + 2-step genomic evaluation 7 traits included in the carcass conformation evaluation: calf quality

	score, 3 classes of prices: calf price, weanling price, and post-weanling price, muscle development score recorded at weaning,, cull cow conformation, and carcass conformation.
<b>Environmental effects<sup>2b</sup></b>	<p>Random class effects: HYS of finishing herd, HYS of previous herd</p> <p>Random non-genetic effect : Dam P.E.</p> <p>Fixed class effects: HYS of finishing herd, HYS of previous herd, dam parity, animal gender (young bull, bull, heifer, steer, cow), birth year, twinning status.</p> <p>Fixed regression effects: age within each class of calf live weight, age at slaughter, heterosis coefficients beef x beef and beef x dairy, recombination loss coefficient coefficients beef x beef and beef x dairy.</p>
<b>Use of genetic groups and relationships</b>	Genetic groups build by breed
<b>Genetic parameters in the model<sup>3</sup></b>	<p>Non-genetic variances</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• HYS finishing herd : 0.29 score<sup>2</sup></li> <li>• HYS previous herd : 0.09 score<sup>2</sup></li> <li>• Dam P.E. : 0.84 score<sup>2</sup></li> </ul> <p>Genetic variance</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Carcass weight : 0.414 score<sup>2</sup></li> </ul> <p>Residual variance : 0.764 score<sup>2</sup></p>
<b>Adjustment for heterogeneous variance in evaluation model</b>	
<b>System validation</b>	
<b>Definition of genetic reference base Next base change</b>	Base = animals with carcass born 2000-2002 ; base animals have an average of 315kg across breed.
<b>Assessment of index quality (computation of reliability, connection)</b>	Reliability computed using Tier and Meyer approximation (2004)
<b>PUBLICATION</b>	
<b>Expression of genetic evaluations</b>	Progeny Transmissible Ability
<b>Criteria per official publication of evaluations</b>	none

<b>Number of evaluations / publications per year</b>	6
<b>Anticipated changes in the near future</b>	1-step genomic evaluation
<b>Key reference on methodology applied</b>	Hickey J., Keane G., Kenny D., Cromie A. and R. Veerkamp (2007) Genetic parameters for EUROP carcass traits within different groups of cattle in Ireland. Journal of Animal Science 85(2):314-21. DOI: 10.2527/jas.2006-263.  Tier, B. and Meyer, K. (2004). "Approximating prediction error covariances among additive genetic effects within animals in multiple-trait and random regression models". In: J. Anim. Breed. Genet. 121.2, pp. 77–89. DOI: 10.1111/j.1439- 0388.2003. 00444.x
<b>Key organization: Contact person, address, phone, fax, e-mail, website</b>	Ross Evans (revans@icbf.com)

- <sup>1</sup>Use Appendix II BEEF for sample ID of ET animals <sup>2a</sup>Use abbreviation listed in the attached list of abbreviation to define the type of model. <sup>2b</sup>Use abbreviation for most common effects as listed in the attached list of abbreviation indicating, also, if the effect is treated as random (R) or fixed (F). <sup>3</sup>Use Appendix I BEEF for heritability/genetic variance estimates.

#### Form BEEF

#### Appendix I BEEF Parameters used in national genetic evaluation Country:

#### Main trait group:

#### Breed:

Trait <sup>(1)</sup>	Definition	$h_d^2$	$h_m^2$	$r_{g(d,m)}$	$c^2$	$\sigma_p^2$

- $h_d^2$ : direct heritability;  $h_m^2$ : maternal heritability;  $r_{g(d,m)}$ : genetic correlation between direct and maternal effects;  $c^2$ : repeatability of (maternal) permanent environmental effects;  $\sigma_p^2$ : phenotypic variance. <sup>(1)</sup>If you have more than one trait (e.g. AWW at 120d and 210d) provide the correlations between traits.

#### Form BEEF

#### Appendix II BEEF Sample of ET animal IDs Country:

#### Main trait group:

