INFORMATION FROM AUTOMATION (AMS/SENSOR DEVICES) RELEVANT FOR UDDER HEALTH MONITORING & IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS

Steven J. Sievert

Technical Director, National DHIA/Quality Certification Services, Madison, WI USA

Chair, ICAR Subcommittee for Recording and Sampling Devices Chair, ICAR Sensor Devices Task Force



Current State of Sensor Technology

What is the Industry Telling Us?





What Can We Measure?

Body Condition Body Weight Conformation

Milk Yield Milk Composition Milking Speed Milk Flow Rate Teat Placement Estrus/Pregnancy Mastitis/Udder Health-Pathogens MUN **Ketosis VFAs** Johne's **BVD BLV Mobility**

> Quality Certification

NATIONA

Heart Rate Rumination

The Current Focus of DHI Programs Animal Location Standing/Resting/Movement

Hoof Health

Feed Intake

Respiration

Chewing/Eating

Methane Emission

Temperature

Accuracy & Precision





Cannot simply assume that you can be less accurate in measurement just because you have more data observations

- Improve accuracy by calibration & design
- Improve precision by quality control

•What are the accuracy & precision compared to the 'gold standard' for the industry?

 Cannot simply assume that accuracy & precision are acceptable when compared to other measures on the farm

Accuracy & Precision



Some traits need high accuracy & high precision

Milking speed, body weight

Some traits high accuracy & lower precision is okay

SCC, fat, protein

Some traits lower accuracy & high precision is ideal

Body condition scores, activity measurements



Multiple Ways to Classify **Sensor Data**

Different

Needs for

Accuracy &

Precision



 Fat, Protein • SCC

Eating, Resting



What are We **Measuring?**

Multiple Indicators of Mastitis or Milk Quality

- Automated CMT/WMT
- Electrical conductivity
- L-lactate dehydrogenase
- N-acetyl-beta-D-glucosaminidase
- ATP luminescence
- Thermal imaging
- Visible, NIR, MIR spectroscopy

Milk quality measures are affected by sampling time, temperature, milk viscosity, calibration









> 2.000.000

< 200.000

All SCC Values Are Not Equal

The Case of the CellSense Sensor

- Automated CMT Test
- Estimates SCC content at 45 seconds into milking
- While correlated to total milk SCC it is NOT the same
- Visual scale of probable SCC value
- Algorithm is based on calibration/adjustment based on DHI SCC values and/or adjustment to bulk tank SCC
- Each sensor has its own bias (positive or negative)





Comparing Lely Sensors vs. Central Lab Component Results



Central Lab



- Poor correlation for SCC, moderate for fat & strong for true protein
- One measures representative sample of total milk and the other estimates at a point during milk letdown *Data from RYK (Denmark)*

Using SCC Sensors

SCC sensors are intended for mastitis management – not animal evaluations

- Alarm or trigger data
- Detect and monitor subclinical mastitis
- Manage bulk tank SCC
- Develop action list for cows to culture
- Identify cows for selective dry cow therapy
- Identify cows to cull

Our current data flow systems cannot distinguish sources of SCC data – the need exists to capture source of data as well as reported value



Sensor Accuracy May Be Affected by Milk Flow



Accuracy is Not Constant Across Yield Volume or Breed

- Example of in-line analyzer compared to DHI lab results across the entire lactation
- In this case underestimated fat yield & overestimated protein yield in the first 125 days of lactation
- Decisions are made at specific DIM or by alarms, not by lactation totals



Milking Speed Data – Available But Challenging

D Performance Details, Count 132									
Cow No.	Group No.	Transp. No.	Milk Yield	Corrected Yield	ID Time	Milk Start Time	Milk Dur.	MPC Address	Storage Position
900001	1	-	9.80	-	-	19:10:34	04:02:00	61	2
900001	1	-	12.20	-	-	19:05:07	05:38:00	78	1
900002	5	-	0.00	-	-	18:56:54	00:05:00	74	1
900002	5	-	15.60	-	-	19:02:12	05:47:00	55	2
900003	5	-	10.80	-	-	19:31:20	04:23:00	41	1
900003	5	-	11.30	-	-	19:43:24	04:50:00	75	2
900004	5	-	8.40	-	-	20:14:05	04:23:00	74	2
900004	5	-	13.60	-	-	19:49:36	05:07:00	64	1
900005	5	-	4.00	-	-	19:50:37	05:06:00	72	1
900005	5	-	11.50	-	-	20:35:30	05:21:00	58	2
900006	5	-	6.30	-	-	20:42:57	04:40:00	57	1
900006	5	-	8.90	-	-	20:46:53	04:45:00	88	2

- Milk flow rates and milking speed data exists in on-farm software
- Different definitions of milking speed (kg/minute, yield over 300 seconds, etc.)
- Millions of observations available
- Data used for on-farm management cow grouping, parlour efficiency, system performance, milker (human) performance
- Data for genetic evaluations may be a superior data set to current qualitative coding of milking speed (1-5 scale)



Teat Placement Sensors



Actual teat location

 Different algorithms and IP by manufacturer

 May or may not have teat shape, length or angle in data set

 Multiple measures over single lactation and over lifetime of cow

Can we use this data?



We Need to Define the Parameter

Data Definition

- Define the parameter and recording period
 - 30 consecutive milkings SCC
 - fraction of the milking MS
- Other data to be captured
 - animal ID
 - date/time stamp
 - Parlour/stall location where applicable

Data Precision

- Precision of recording
 - 4.2% vs. 4.22% vs. 4.222%
 - There is an illusion of increased accuracy in some systems
- Capture & Averaging
 - Capture individual data points or mean values?
- Report SD/SE or Confidence Intervals?



Data Validation Questions

Data Handling

- Handling of missing data points
 - Estimated data included?
 - Mean of actual data only?
- Decision rules for handling and/or exclusion of outliers
- Data smoothing monthly or weekly means vs. daily values

Data Validation

- Range of accurate measurement for sensor
- Distribution of errors
- Evaluation of algorithm
 - May need test data set to send through system algorithm to validate output.



Cumulative Effect of Sensor Errors



AMS/Robotic Systems

- Limited or no choice of milking stall
- Error effect is high



Parallel or Herringbone Parlours

- Cow behaviours lead to trends
- Error effect exists but is moderately low



Rotary Parlours

- Random stalls at each milking
- Error effect is low



Cumulative Effect of Sensor Errors

SD of Errors After Average Multiple Measurements 1 0.75 SD Error 0.5 AMS Parallel Rotary 0.25 0 5 10 0 15 20 25 30 Number of Measurements

More observations are not the answer in all milk parlour configurations



Connectivity is a Concern



Gaps in data observations

- How is the value computed?
- Estimations?
- Mean values without missing data?
- Affects the quality of data entering the system or the management decision process



Managing Multiple Streams of the Same Data



- Producer may contribute information for the same parameter from different measuring devices
- Need to capture not only data point(s) but also source of the data

How will we value each data point? How will we value the whole record? What information will we deliver?



How Will We Value Sensor Data?

The Same Parameter May Be Estimated by Different Methods with Different Data Values Assigned for Each Method



• Define parameters that approximate the accuracy and precision of traditional milk recording parameters like milk yield or composition

Separate Classes of Data

•Currently Supervised or Owner Sampler Test Types – will we have a test type or class for specific sensor data?

Weighting of Data

•Data collection rating system that puts relative weight on data type, collection interval, and parameters measured

Use Validated Data Directly

•New parameters may deliver data with acceptable accuracy and precision and the data is used with minimal editing

Exclusion of Certain Data

•Results from specific parameters may be deemed to be unsuitable for herd recording programs at the present time

