



Reminder

- The scope of the working group is (too) wide
- Focused on data exchange with on farm equipment
- Priority for milking equipment





- The conventional ICAR guideline is not enough to facilitate data exchange.
- The major issues is not to deliver a data dictionary
- The major issues are:
 - Standardizing technical mapping
 - Reducing implementation costs
 - Recording organizations and manufacturers use it
 - Keeping the standard alive in a sustainable way



Meeting the requirements

Enlarge the standard

IN ASSESSMENT ASSESSMENT

- Facilitate the implementation
- Starting the implementation with pilots with some manufacturers and recording organizations
- Close cooperation with the manufacturers
- An appropriate organisation





- Part 1: interchange agreement
- Part 2: general architecture
- Part 3: message architecture
- **▶**Part 4: data dictionary
- Part 5: technical mapping
- Part 6: implementation procedure
- **▶**Part 7: applicable references



Facilitate the implementation

- Web sites to get interface specifications which be processed by a computer
- **▶**Test plat form

ALL ARMS ASSES



Starting pilot implementation

Two data flows:

A STATE AS THE

- Equipment to recording organizations: milking result
- ▶ Recording organizations to equipment: animal data
- **▶**3 manufacturers: Delaval, Fullwood, Lely
- ▶ 3 recording organizations, CRV (Netherlands), LKV Bayern (Germany), FGE / FCEL (France)
- **▶**About 100 farmers





ICAR Working group

Coordination group

Technical group

ICT Experts





- **▶** An organization to keep the standard alive
- Funding the organization in a sustainable way
- ► An enlarged version of standard by the end of 2014
- More farmers, more manufacturers and more recording organizations
- Preparing the 2015 release





Thank you for your attention

