

## Estimates of genetic parameters for environmental efficiency traits for first lactation Holsteins

L.S.F. Lopes<sup>1</sup>, K. Houlahan<sup>1</sup>, F.S. Schenkel<sup>1</sup>, D. Tulpan<sup>1</sup>, F. Miglior<sup>1,2</sup>,  
M. Sargolzaei<sup>3,4</sup>, J.E. Koltes<sup>5</sup> and C.F. Baes<sup>1,6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Centre for Genetic Improvement of Livestock, Department of Animal Biosciences,  
University of Guelph, N1G 2W1, Guelph, Ontario, Canada  
Corresponding author: [llopes@uoguelph.ca](mailto:llopes@uoguelph.ca)

<sup>2</sup>Lactanet, N1K 1E5, Guelph, Ontario, Canada

<sup>3</sup>Ontario Veterinary College, University of Guelph, N1G 2W1, Guelph, Ontario, Canada

<sup>4</sup>Select Sires Inc, 43064, Plain City, Ohio, United States of America

<sup>5</sup>Department of Animal Science, Iowa State University, 50011-3150,  
Ames, Iowa, United States of America

<sup>6</sup>Institute of Genetics, Vetsuisse Faculty, University of Bern, 3012 Bern, Switzerland

Rumination time was assessed as an indicator of efficiency and sustainability in dairy cattle. This study comprised 7782 records on 656 mid-first lactation Holstein cows. Animal models were used for rumination time and methane emission traits, while repeatability animal models were used for feed efficiency and production traits in bivariate analyses to estimate genetic parameters, including heritability, and genetic and phenotypic correlations between all traits. Rumination time had a moderate heritability ( $0.48 \pm 0.14$ ) and genetic correlations of  $-0.45 (\pm 0.25)$  with methane production,  $-0.88 (\pm 0.24)$  with methane intensity,  $-0.08 (\pm 0.19)$  with feed efficiency, and  $0.48 (\pm 0.18)$  with energy corrected milk. Although these findings should be validated in larger datasets, they suggest that rumination time has the potential to be used as an indicator trait for methane emissions and production levels.

### Abstract

*Keywords: Rumination time, methane, dairy.*

Livestock is responsible for 6% of the global anthropogenic greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions (Gerber *et al.*, 2013) with methane ( $\text{CH}_4$ ) from the eructation of ruminants being a major contributor (Beauchemin *et al.*, 2020). The production of  $\text{CH}_4$  also corresponds to a 10% loss of dietary energy (de Haas *et al.*, 2011). A decrease in  $\text{CH}_4$  emissions would improve both efficiency and sustainability in the dairy sector. The recording of  $\text{CH}_4$  and feed efficiency (FE) is costly and time-consuming, making the use of related traits that are inexpensive and easily measured necessary. One candidate indicator trait for  $\text{CH}_4$  emissions and FE is rumination time (RT). Measured by automated sensors such as rumination collars, RT is already used at the commercial level in the monitoring of functional and production traits (Kaufman *et al.*, 2018). For RT to be an indicator trait, it should be heritable and genetically correlated to traits of interest (Byskov *et al.*, 2017). This study aimed to evaluate RT as an indicator of sustainability and efficiency in dairy cows by estimating genetic parameters, phenotypic and genetic correlations among RT,  $\text{CH}_4$  emission, FE, and production traits in Canadian Holstein cows.

### Introduction

## Material and methods

This study comprised 656 first lactation Holstein cows between 110 and 210 days in milk. Measured traits were rumination time (RT), methane production (CH<sub>4</sub>), methane yield (MeY), methane intensity (MeI), dry matter intake (DMI), feed efficiency (FE), metabolic body weight (MBW), and energy corrected milk (ECM). Rumination time in minutes per day was obtained from Heatime® neck collars, (SCR HiTag, Allflex, Netanya, Israel) (Schirmann *et al.*, 2009; Andreen *et al.*, 2021). Milk samples were collected weekly and analysed by Lactanet Canada (Guelph, ON) for fat and protein content in kilograms. Energy corrected milk was calculated, where ECM = (0.25 x milk) + (12.2 x fat) + (7.7 x protein) (Sjaunja *et al.*, 1990). Daily live body weight (BW) records were used for the calculation of MBW as BW<sup>0.75</sup>. Records for DMI in kilograms were obtained as the product between total mixed ration (TMR) intake in kilograms and the calculated dry matter percentage in the diet, where TMR intake was calculated daily as the difference between offered and leftover feed. Feed efficiency was calculated by a recursive linear transformation of DMI based on the genetic (co)variances among DMI, ECM and MBW (Jamrozik *et al.*, 2021). Methane emission was obtained using the GreenFeed® System (C-Lock Inc., Rapid City, South Dakota, USA) (Hristov *et al.*, 2015; Huhtanen *et al.*, 2015). Methane records were used to calculate MeY and MeI as grams of methane per kilograms of DMI and ECM, respectively. Each trait was assessed for outliers at three standard deviations from the average. All traits had to have a minimum of two records per week of lactation to be considered for analysis, where the week of lactation was defined using the milk test day. Finally, all traits were averaged for the week of lactation. Cows had repeated records of weekly averages for DMI, FE, ECM and MBW.

## Statistical models

Animal models were used for RT, MeY and MeI, while repeatability animal models were used for FE, DMI, ECM, and MBW in bivariate analyses to estimate genetic parameters. All (co)variance components were estimated using the average information residual maximum likelihood algorithm in ASREML 4.0 (Gilmour *et al.*, 2015). For each trait, heritability was the average result from all bivariate combinations. In general, the model used in this study was:

$$Y_{ijklm} = \mu + AC_i + WL_j + YS_k + a_i + pe_i + e_{ijklm}$$

where  $Y_{ijklm}$  represents the  $m^{\text{th}}$  phenotype (for RT, CH<sub>4</sub>, MeY, MeI, FE, DMI, ECM, and MBW) of the  $i^{\text{th}}$  animal;  $\mu$  is the overall mean of the trait;  $AC_i$  is the fixed effect of the  $i^{\text{th}}$  age at calving class in months (nine classes);  $WL_j$  is the fixed effect of the  $j^{\text{th}}$  week of lactation (fifteen levels);  $YS_k$  is the fixed effect of the  $k^{\text{th}}$  year and season of calving class (sixteen classes);  $a_i$  is the random additive genetic effect of the  $i^{\text{th}}$  cow;  $pe_i$  is the random permanent environmental effect (for FE, DMI, ECM and MBW) of the  $i^{\text{th}}$  cow, and  $e_{ijklm}$  is the random residual error term.

## Results and discussion

### Heritabilities

The heritability ( $h^2$ ) estimates in Table 1 show that selection is possible for all analysed traits. The estimated RT  $h^2$  (0.48±0.14) was larger than 0.33±0.16 and 0.34±0.05 previously reported (Byskov *et al.*, 2017; Moretti *et al.*, 2018). However, these studies used different trait definitions for RT, which could affect  $h^2$  estimates. Estimated heritabilities of CH<sub>4</sub>, MeI, and MeY are found to range from 0.05 to 0.38 (de Haas *et al.*, 2011; Manzanilla-Pech *et al.*, 2021) and the variation in the results can be attributed

Table 1. Genetic correlation (above diagonal), heritability (diagonal), and phenotypic correlation (below diagonal), for rumination time (RT), methane production (CH<sub>4</sub>), methane yield (MeY), methane intensity (MeI), feed efficiency (FE), dry matter intake (DMI), energy corrected milk (ECM), and metabolic body weight (MBW).

	RT	CH <sub>4</sub>	MeY	MeI	FE	DMI	ECM	MBW
RT (min/day)	<b>0.48 (0.14)</b>	-0.45(0.25)	NA	-0.88 (0.24)	-0.08 (0.19)	0.17 (0.14)	0.48 (0.12)	-0.24 (0.13)
CH <sub>4</sub> (g/day)	-0.10 (0.06)	<b>0.42 (0.12)</b>	0.85 (0.50)	0.48 (0.23)	0.13(0.18)	0.81(0.10)	0.76(0.14)	0.67(0.10)
MeY (g/kg)	NA	0.46 (0.04)	<b>0.12 (0.10)</b>	0.84 (0.62)	-0.91 (0.24)	-0.92 (0.12)	-0.37 (0.29)	0.04 (0.29)
MeI (g/kg)	-0.26 (0.06)	0.57 (0.04)	0.39 (0.05)	<b>0.36 (0.13)</b>	0.04 (0.22)	-0.17 (0.16)	-0.81 (0.08)	0.66 (0.13)
FE	0.05 (0.09)	0.02 (0.09)	-0.60 (0.06)	0.06 (0.09)	<b>0.13 (0.07)</b>	0.69 (0.14)	-0.06 (0.29)	-0.08 (0.30)
DMI (kg)	0.17 (0.07)	0.50 (0.05)	-0.70 (0.04)	-0.03 (0.07)	0.84 (0.01)	<b>0.24 (0.07)</b>	0.56 (0.17)	0.40 (0.21)
ECM (kg)	0.21 (0.07)	0.37 (0.06)	-0.06 (0.07)	-0.69 (0.04)	-0.07 (0.03)	0.34 (0.03)	<b>0.32 (0.07)</b>	-0.01 (0.21)
MBW (kg <sup>0.75</sup> )	-0.17 (0.08)	0.45 (0.07)	0.01 (0.08)	0.43 (0.08)	-0.15 (0.04)	0.22 (0.04)	-0.03 (0.04)	<b>0.44 (0.11)</b>

to the environmental impact on the methane produced by cows, and the method of measurement (López-Paredes *et al.*, 2020). The  $h^2$  for FE ( $0.13 \pm 0.07$ ) was within the reported range for FE (0.01 to 0.40) (de Haas *et al.*, 2011; Vallimont *et al.*, 2011). Thus, improvements in FE could be possible through selection, however, correlation with other economically important traits should be assessed.

### Genetic correlations

Genetic correlations were estimated between all traits (Table 1). The bivariate analysis between MeY and RT did not converge, and this may have been caused by the model not being robust enough to estimate parameters for all traits in a small data set. Rumination time was uncorrelated to FE and had negative correlations with  $CH_4$  ( $-0.45 \pm 0.25$ ), MeI ( $-0.88 \pm 0.24$ ) and MBW ( $-0.24 \pm 0.12$ ) while showing positive correlations with the remaining traits. The genetic correlations between RT and ECM ( $0.48 \pm 0.12$ ) could be a relationship to be exploited by selection programs. Greater rumination and production could be linked to a greater intake by the cows, as shown by the correlations between RT and DMI ( $0.17 \pm 0.14$ ), and between ECM and DMI ( $0.55 \pm 0.16$ ). Additionally, the increased intake could suggest a faster passage rate, and lower fermentation rate (Ramin and Huhtanen, 2013), possibly explaining the association between RT and  $CH_4$ , and between RT and MeI.

### Conclusion

The goals of this study were to estimate genetic parameters for automatically recorded RT and identify if RT is genetically correlated to efficiency, methane production, yield and intensity, and milk production. Our findings show that RT is heritable and is a candidate trait for the identification of low-emitting and high-producing animals with no direct impact on efficiency.

### Acknowledgement

The authors acknowledge partners and collaborators of the efficient dairy genome project (<https://genomedairy.ualberta.ca/>) and the resilient dairy genome project (<http://www.resilientdairy.ca>).

### References

- Andreen, D.M., M.M. Haan, C.D. Dechow, and K.J. Harvatine.** 2021. Determination of relationships between rumination and milk fat concentration and fatty acid profile using data from commercial rumination sensing systems. *J Dairy Sci* (104):8901–8917. doi:10.3168/jds.2020-19860.
- Beauchemin, K.A., E.M. Ungerfeld, R.J. Eckard, and M. Wang.** 2020. Review: Fifty years of research on rumen methanogenesis: Lessons learned and future challenges for mitigation. *Anim* (14): S2–S16. doi:10.1017/S1751731119003100.
- Byskov, M. v, A. Fogh, and P. Løvendahl.** 2017. Genetic parameters of rumination time and feed efficiency traits in primiparous Holstein cows under research and commercial conditions. *J Dairy Sci* (100):9635–9642. doi:10.3168/jds.2016-12511.

**Gerber, P.J., A.N. Hristov, B. Henderson, H. Makkar, J. Oh, C. Lee, R. Meinen, F. Montes, T. Ott, J. Firkins, A. Rotz, C. Dell, A.T. Adesogan, W.Z. Yang, J.M. Tricarico, E. Kebreab, G. Waghorn, J. Dijkstra, and S. Oosting.** 2013. Technical options for the mitigation of direct methane and nitrous oxide emissions from livestock: a review. *Anim (7) Suppl 2*:220–234. doi:10.1017/S1751731113000876.

**Gilmour, A.R., B.J. Gogel, B.R. Cullis, S.J.R. Welham, R. Thompson,** 2015. ASReml user guide release 4.1 functional specification. Hemel Hempstead, UK: VSN International Ltd. Available at: <https://www.vsnl.co.uk>

**de Haas, Y., J.J. Windig, M.P.L. Calus, J. Dijkstra, M. de Haan, A. Bannink, and R.F. Veerkamp.** 2011. Genetic parameters for predicted methane production and potential for reducing enteric emissions through genomic selection. *J Dairy Sci (94)*:6122–6134. doi:10.3168/jds.2011-4439.

**Hristov, A.N., J. Oh, F. Giallongo, T. Frederick, H. Weeks, P.R. Zimmerman, M.T. Harper, R.A. Hristova, R.S. Zimmerman, and A.F. Branco.** 2015. The Use of an Automated System (GreenFeed) to Monitor Enteric Methane and Carbon Dioxide Emissions from Ruminant Animals. *J Vis Exp: JoVE (2015)*: 52904. doi:10.3791/52904.

**Huhtanen, P., E.H. Cabezas-Garcia, S. Utsumi, and S. Zimmerman.** 2015. Comparison of methods to determine methane emissions from dairy cows in farm conditions. *J Dairy Sci (98)*: 3394–3409. doi:10.3168/JDS.2014-9118.

**Jamrozik, J., G.J. Kistemaker, P. Sullivan, B.J. Van Doormaal, T.C.S. Chud, C.F. Baes, F.S. Schenkel, and F.M. Miglior.** 2021. Genomic Evaluation for Feed Efficiency in Canadian Holsteins. Page in 2021 Virtual ICAR-Interbull. Interbull Centre, Leeuwarden, Netherlands.

**Kaufman, E.I., V.H. Asselstine, S.J. LeBlanc, T.F. Duffield, and T.J. DeVries.** 2018. Association of rumination time and health status with milk yield and composition in early-lactation dairy cows. *J Dairy Sci (101)*: 462–471. doi:10.3168/jds.2017-12909.

**López-Paredes, J., I. Goiri, R. Atxaerandio, A. García-Rodríguez, E. Ugarte, J.A. Jiménez-Montero, R. Alenda, and O. González-Recio.** 2020. Mitigation of greenhouse gases in dairy cattle via genetic selection: 1. Genetic parameters of direct methane using noninvasive methods and proxies of methane. *J Dairy Sci (103)*: 7199–7209. doi:10.3168/JDS.2019-17597.

**Manzanilla-Pech, C.I.V., P. Loevendahl, D. Mansan Gordo, G.F. Difford, J.E. Pryce, F. Schenkel, S. Wegmann, F. Miglior, T.C. Chud, P.J. Moate, S.R.O. Williams, C.M. Richardson, P. Stothard, and J. Lassen.** 2021. Breeding for reduced methane emission and feed-efficient Holstein cows: An international response. *J Dairy Sci (104)*: 8983–9001. doi:10.3168/JDS.2020-19889.

**Moretti, R., M.P.G. de Rezende, S. Biffani, and R. Bozzi.** 2018. Heritability and genetic correlations between rumination time and production traits in Holstein dairy cows during different lactation phases. *J Anim Breed Genet (135)*: 293–299. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1111/jbg.12346>.

**Ramin, M., and P. Huhtanen.** 2013. Development of equations for predicting methane emissions from ruminants. *J Dairy Sci 96*:2476–2493. doi:10.3168/JDS.2012-6095.

**Schirmann, K., M.A.G. von Keyserlingk, D.M. Weary, D.M. Veira, and W. Heuwieser.** 2009. Technical note/ : Validation of a system for monitoring rumination in dairy cows. *J Dairy Sci* (92): 6052–6055. doi:10.3168/jds.2009-2361.

**Sjaunja, L.O., L. Bævre, L. Junkkarinen, J. Pedersen, and J. Setälä.** 1990. A nordic proposal for an energy corrected milk (ECM) formula. Page in *International Committee for Recording the Productivity of Milk Animals*

**Vallimont, J.E., C.D. Dechow, J.M. Daubert, M.W. Dekleva, J.W. Blum, C.M. Barlieb, W. Liu, G.A. Varga, A.J. Heinrichs, and C.R. Baumrucker.** 2011. Short communication: Heritability of gross feed efficiency and associations with yield, intake, residual intake, body weight, and body condition score in 11 commercial Pennsylvania tie stalls. *J Dairy Sci* (94): 2108–2113. doi:10.3168/JDS.2010-3888.