Feed & Gas

ICAR Working Group Who? Why? What?

R.F. Veerkamp, F. Miglior, Y. de Haas

A. Wilson, A. Butty, C. Richardson, J.E. Pryce, B. Gredler, P.C. Garnsworthy, R. Finocchiaro, J. Lassen, R. Benoit, G Renand, G Thaller







Norges miljø- og biovitenskapelige universitet

Feed & Gas working group who?

Chair						
Roel Veerkamp Yvette		de Haas				
Members working group						
Jennie	Pryce	Australia				
Filippo	Miglior	Canada				
Nina	Krattenmacher	Germany				
Raffaella	Finocchiaro	Italy				
Birgit	Gredler	Switzerland				
Phil	Garnsworthy	United Kingdom				
Rouillé	Benoit	France				
Jan	Lassen	Danemark				
Gilles	Renand	France				
Industry liaison group						
Marco	Winters	UK				
Daniel	Abernethy	AUS				
Juan	Pena	Spain				
Andrew	Cromie	Ireland				
Sijne	Van Beek	The Netherlands				

Research liaison group with researchers in Belgium, Canada,

Denmark, France, Germany, Ireland, Italy, Norway, UK



Why?



Feeding 9 billion people within the carrying capacity of planet earth

Livestock 18% of total global anthropogenic GHG emissions



Globally resources efficiency important



Why? Economic importance farm level

Agricultural Experiment Station.

URBANA, NOVEMBER, 1901.

BULLETIN No 66.

INDIVIDUAL DIFFERENCES IN THE VALUE OF DAIRY COWS.

BY WILBER J. FRASER, INSTRUCTOR IN DAIRY HUSBANDRY, COLLEGE OF AGRICULTURE AND CHIEF IN DEPARTMENT OF DAIRY HUSBANDRY, AGRICULTURAL EXPERIMENT STATION.

Common observation teaches us that different cows produce different amounts of milk and butter-fat in the same period of time, but it does not inform us whether the food consumption differs in proportion to yield, or whether one cow may actually manufacture more than another out of the same amount of feed. The question then arises, will two cows fed on like feeds make the same returns, and, if not, will the yield be in the ratio of the feeds consumed.

Journal of Heredity (1911) os-6:295-300

COW-TESTING ASSOCIATIONS.

countries. They have become widely disseminated in Sweden and Norway, and there are now control associations in Finland, Russia, Germany and Scotland. In most places an attempt is made to carry out the weighing and valuation of the feed, as in Denmark; but, in some parts of Norway, where the cows subsist entirely on grass in the summer and on hay and straw in the winter, it is thought that the estimate of the feed will be too inaccurate, and therefore the work of the control assistant is limited to managing the test milking, testing for butter fat, and keeping a record of the milk and butter yield.

Where there is no record of the consumption of feed, there will be no basis for a fair comparison of the milk and butter yield in the various herds, because the amount of feed will always affect the yield of butter; but, even without a record of the feeding, the "control" will give every farmer valuable information regarding the yield of milk and butter of the individual cows, so that he can positively distinguish the best, the good, and the poor cows; and he gets an opportunity to find those cows that give particularly rich milk, which is of immense importance, if it is, as we believe, that giving rich or poor milk is for each cow a peculiar and inherited quality.



295

Why?





Measuring individual feed intake (DMI)

Insentec RIC system



Calan Broadbent



Growsafe system







NZ & Aus Callagher equipment





Measuring methane







Greenfeeder



Sniffers in robot



Many international initiatives

- 1. RobustMilk
- 2. Australasian RFI project
- 3. Global Dry Matter Initiative: gDMI
- 4. RFI: Michael VanderHaar
- 5. FUNC (Feed Utilization in Nordic Cattle)
- 6. Efficient Dairy Genome Project Canada







METHAGENE

- AT; BE; CH; DE; DK; ES; FI; FR; GR; ISR; IE; IT; LT; MAC; NL; NO; PL; PT; SE; SLO; SK; TU; UK
- >150 participants
- >30 institutions
 - Academic
 - Government
 - Industry





Why feed & gas working group?

Important societal challenges for livestock production related to resources efficiency

Genomic selection and new recording technologies provide the potential for animal breeding to become part of the solution

Many international initiatives on-going

Australia and the Netherlands have introduced feed efficiency in national breeding index



Priorities Feed&Gas working group

- International forum to stimulate collaboration; maintain the momentum established by gDMI
- ICAR guidelines for recording DMI and methane
- Survey among ICAR members
- Business and operational model → Service ICAR?



Maintain momentum gDMI2

Subprojects :

- 1. Develop protocol and database for sharing phenotypes
- 2. Improved genomic prediction with more data and enhanced modelling
- 3. From biology of feed efficiency to breeding goals for efficiency
- 4. Utilising across breed information with sequence data
- 5. Proxies (MIR, type, sensors, ...) for cost-effective prediction of feed intake related traits
- 6. Economic values and breeding strategies



Current scope of guidelines feed intake

1. Utilizing existing feed intake data

2. Setting op data recording

3.	4.	5.	6.	8.	9.
Recording	Additional	Bulls, cows	Lactation	Feeding	Genotype
indoors	recording	and young	period	system	
and at	of traits	stock			
pasture					

10. Merging and sharing data in genetic evaluations

- Very much in research arena



- What questions do you have?

Survey among ICAR members

- 1. Data and Recording Methods for Feed Intake and Methane Emissions
- 2. Selection Goals for Methane Emissions and Feed Intake Traits
- **3.** Genetic evaluation





Caeli Richardson MSc, Canada



Angela Wilson

RA, Canada









Current answers status

Invited Complete Total **Partial** to parl takers responses response S 1. Data 120 19 12 7 collection 2. Evaluation 118 5 4 1

to participate: buttya@uoguelph.ca

Special acknowledgement to Cesare Mosconi







Feed & Gas working group

- Resources efficiency important
- Genomic selection enables animal breeding
- Many global initiatives
- Current priorities
 - Forum for collaboration: gDMI
 - Guidelines fro DMI and methane
 - Survey

