

QUALITY ASSURANCE TOOLS IN MILK-TESTING LABORATORIES – THE VIEW OF AN INSTRUMENT MANUFACTURER

DR. DANIEL SCHWARZ, FOSS, DENMARK





THE GLOBAL STANDARD FOR LIVESTOCK DATA Annual Conference ICAR2018.NZ







INTERNATIONAL CERTIFICATIONS OF MILK ANALYSERS

CONFUSION





OVERVIEW ON INTERNATIONAL VALIDATIONS





SOMATIC CELL COUNT – EU RL



• Validation testing according to ISO 13366-1. ISO 13366-2. ISO 8196-3 (IDF 148-1. IDF 148-2.

ltem

1. Repeatability (r) in % per cell count leve

low (100 k)

medium (500 k)

high (1,500 k)

2. Carry-over (CO) in % per cell count leve

low (500 k)	
medium (1,000 k)	
high (3,000 k)	
3. Linearity (r _c) in %	
I. Lower limit of quantification	

- 5. Upper limit of quantification
- 6. Intra-laboratory reproducibility ($R_{intra-lab}$) in % per cell count level

low	(50-200	k)
-----	---------	----

medium low (201-400 k)

medium (401-650 k)

medium high (651-1,000 k)

high (1,000 -1,500 k)



http://ncims.org/forms/

SOMATIC CELL COUNT – NCIMS

• Validation: correlation to reference method (i.e., microscopy (IDF 148-1))

	LEADERSHIP CONF	ERENCES FACILITIES	PROGRAMS	2400 FORMS	ABOUT
eadership > Executive Board	2400 Forms				
> Councils > Committees	2400 Form Document	s in Use Report			
FORM NUMBER	FORMS/DOCUME	NTS		REVISION	IN USE
2400h-3	Foss 5000/FC. 24	00h-3		Feb 2016	



Fossomatic™ 5000/FC (Raw Commingled Cow, Sheep, Goat, Water Buffalo and Camel Milk) IMS #16

ELECTRONIC SOMATIC CELL COUNT

(Unless otherwise stated all tolerances ±5%)

FOSS

MILK COMPOSITION

 Validation testing according to ISO 8196-3 (IDF 128-3) and specifications of CNIEL
 Pro
 2. Rep
 Fat

1. Accuracy (s _{y,x}) in g/l
Fat
Protein
2. Repeatability (S _r) in g/I
Fat
Protein
3. Linearity (r_c) in %
Fat
Protein
4. Carry-over (CO) in %
Fat
Protein
5. Stability according to ISO 8196-3

*= not determined

BACTERIAL COUNT (EU RL AND NCIMS) FOSS Lloyd's Register Validation testing according to ISO 4833-1, ISO 4833-2, ISO 16140-2 Item CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE MICROVAL 1. Repeatability (r) 1 HEREBY DECLARES THAT THE CERTIFICATION ASSESSMENT BY 2. Carry-over (CO) LLOYD'S REGISTER QUALITY ASSURANCE 3. Linearity (r_c) in % has demonstrated that BactoScan FC and FC+™ 4. Lower limit of quantification Manufactured and supplied by Foss Analytical A/S Foss Allé DK-3400 Hillerød 5. Limit of detection Denmark has been validated and revealed to be at least equivalent to the reference methods as demonstrated by the validation study report. The summary of the validation report is available on the MicroVal website: www.microval.org 6. Upper limit of quantification 1. EN-ISO 4833-1:2013 Microbiology of the food chain – Horizontal method for the enumeration of microorganisms - Part 1: Colony count at 30 degrees C by the 2. EN-ISO 4833-2:2013 Microbiology of the food chain - Horizontal method for the enumeration of microorganisms - Part 2: Colony count at 30 degrees C by the 7. Accuracy $(s_{v,x})$ in range 1 x 10⁴ – 1 x 10⁶ cfu/ml Scope: Raw cow milk The validation and certification has been performed in accordance with EN ISO/DIS 16140-2:2013, the EURL MMP criteria and the MicroVal Rules and Certification Scheme 8. Interlaboratory repeatability $0.01 \log_{10} ctu/ml$ Certificate no.: 2013LR45 First approval date: 26 February Expiry date: 26 Fe NCIMS National Conference on Interstate Milk Shipments ISSUED BY Lloyd's Register Nederland B.V. ABOUT LEADERSHIP CONFERENCES FACILITIES PROGRAMS Rotterdam, Th herlands Certificate no. 20131845 26-02-2015 Page 1 of 1 Leadership 2400 Forms > Executive Board > Councils 2400 Form Documents in Use Report > Committees FORM NUMBER FORMS/DOCUMENTS REVISION IN USE Foss BactoScan FC/FC+, 2400a-2 Oct 2013 2400a-2

NEW ICAR SERVICE: MILK ANALYSER CERTIFICATION

FOSS



THE GLOBAL STANDARD FOR LIVESTOCK DATA

- Particular attention for DHI analyses
- The ICAR certification will follow the ICAR Protocol for evaluation of milk analysers and ISO 8196-3 (Milk — Definition and evaluation of the overall accuracy of alternative methods of milk analysis)
- Harmonised protocol that serves the interest of milk recording world-wide \rightarrow avoid national certifications





SPECTRAL ANALYSIS OF MILK

FTIR ANALYSIS OF MILK SAMPLES

Milk Components • Fat, protein, lactose, SNF • Casein • Urea • Fatty acids dlobal models

al model ific (i.e., ocal) odels

FOSS

according to Scott et al., ICAR 2016 Annual

STANDARDISATION OF FTIR ANALYSERS

- Introduced in 1995
- Based on running FTIR Equalizer (frequency: 1/month)
- Prerequisite for global transfer and application of calibrations



FOSS



NEW PARAMETERS FOR MILK TESTING AND REFERENCE METHODS

EXAMPLE 1: KETOSIS SCREENING

- History:
 - FTIR-based prediction of milk acetone (Hansen, 1999)
 - FTIR-based prediction of milk β-hydroxybutyrate (deRoos et al., 2007

- Concentrations:
 - Milk urea: typically 1.5-4.5 mmol/l
 - Milk β-hydroxybutyrate: typically 0.0-0.4 mmol/l

Quality assurance as key to success when establishing ketosis screening service



β-hydroxybutyrate

FTIR – BHB PREDICTION MODEL

Indirect calibration developed: 0.45 **Protein** Fat **Fingerprint** 0.4 BHB 0.35 area > Requires raw milk 0.3 Absorbance 0.25 0.2 0.15 0.1 0.05 0 -0.05 1200 1400 1600 1800 2000 2200 2400 2600 2800 3000 10 Wavenumber

ANALYTICS BEYOND MEASURE

FOSS

Correlation to wet-chemistry method: 0.82 (de Roos et al., 200)

QA PROGRAMME IN CANADA

• All laboratories offering ketosis screening participate in QA programme:





Valacta, reference results (wet chemistry method) for 100 random samples Provision of BHB pilot samples Frequency: 1/month

QA PROGRAMME IN FRANCE

• All laboratories offering ketosis screening participate in QA programme:





FOSS

Reference laboratory, wet chemistry method 10 reference samples for BHB (0.05-0.25 mmol/l) and 5 samples for acetone (0.10-0.20 mmol/l)

Frequency: 1/month

IDF GUIDELINE



- Action Team S03b: New applications of IR spectrometry
- Best practise cases on working with new FTIR-based parameters
- New guideline to be published in 2018

EXAMPLE 2: DIFFERENTIAL SOMATIC CELL COUNT

- Introduced in 2016 (Damm et al., 2017; Schwarz, 2017)
- Three types of immune cells in milk (e.g., Oviedo-Boyso et al., 2007):
 - Lymphocytes
 - Macrophages
 - Polymorphonuclear neutrophils (PMN)
- Differential Somatic Cell Count (DSCC):
 - \rightarrow Represents the combined proportion of the PMN and lymphocytes in percent
 - \rightarrow The percentage of Macrophages is 100 DSCC



FOSS



Microscope spot, milk cells

THE FOSS DSCC METHOD – SCC AND DSCC SIMULTANEOUSLY





SSC information used supportively for determination of SCC

IDF WORK

FOSS

• Action Team S15:

Bulletin on improvement of the reference method for somatic cell counting

- Possibilities/technologies for the SCC reference method including DSCC capability
- Bulletin to be published in 2018

A MESSAGE TO TAKE HOME

FOSS

- Raw milk samples hold a wealth of information milk quality and dairy herd management → new instruments and parameters
- FOSS supports quality assurance through
 - Certification according to internationally-accepted standards
 - Standardisation concept for FTIR analysers
 - Development of guidelines/best practise cases for parameters
 where reference methods are not yet available

