

Management of genetic trait information in the genomic era

T.J. Lawlor^{*}, *C. Kuehn, P. Cole, J. Motycka, S. Harding and L. Markle*

World Holstein Friesian Federation (WHFF) Registration Working Group

WCGALP, ICAR and Interbull February 11, 2018

Outline of Talk

- Current WHFF activities and codes.
- Handling of new information.
- Personal thoughts on where the recording of genetic traits may be heading.

WHFF - Working Group

- Reviews the recording of Genetic Traits prevalent in the Holstein breed with emphasis on harmonization and exchange of data.
- Genetic Traits: phenotype can be described by a limited number of distinct categories and is under genetic control.



List of Genetic Traits

 Official Genetic traits for the Holstein breed are listed on the WHFF website for easy reference for all International Holstein Association and their respective Herdbooks.

| | WHFF - | RECESSIVE | E/DOMINANT PROFILE GENES CODES |
|--|---|--------------|---|
| | | | MASTER LIST |
| Expressio | <u>n Code</u> : F = test | ted and nonc | arrier, C = Tested carrier |
| <u>Name of</u> abnormality or gene | Description | Gene Codes | Gene and Expression codes |
| BLAD | Bovine Leucocyte Adhésion Deficiency | BL | BLC = Tested carrier of BLAD; BLF = Tested noncarrier of BLAD |
| MULEFOOT | Mule-Foot | MF | MFC = Tested carrier of Mulefoot ; MFF = Tested noncarrier of Mulefoot |
| DUMPS | Deficiency of Uridine Monophosphate Synthase | DP | DPC = Tested carrier of Dumps ; DPF = Tested noncarrier of Dumps |
| сум | Complex Vertebral Malformation | cv | CVC = Tested carrier of CVM; CVF = Tested noncarrier of CVM |

• When newly observed or previously unknown Genetic Traits are discovered, they should be reported to WHFF for the classification.

Standardized Labelling for Genetic Trait Coding

| | Direct Tests | | Indirect Tests |
|---|-------------------------------|---|---|
| F | Tested Free | 0 | Tested Free / non-carrier |
| С | Tested Carrier / Heterozygous | 1 | Tested Carrier / Heterozygous / confirmed with pedigree info |
| S | Tested / Homozygous | 2 | Tested / Homozygous / confirmed with pedigree info |
| | | 3 | Suspected Carrier / could not be confirmed from pedigree |
| | | 4 | Suspected Homozygous/ could not be confirmed from pedigree |
| | Phenotypically Observed | | |
| F | Recorded | | |

Standardized Labelling for *Direct Tests*

One gene, two alleles, recessive inheritance

| Gene:A | Gene:APOB Common Name: <u>C</u> holesterol <u>D</u> eficiency | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|---|-------|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Constyne | Dhonotypo | WHFF | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Genotype | Phenotype | Codes | | | | | | | | | | | |
| C C | Normal | CDF | <u>F</u> ree | | | | | | | | | | |
| Сс | Normal | CDC | <u>C</u> arrier | | | | | | | | | | |
| C C | Cholesterol Deficient | CDS | Homozygou <mark>s</mark> | | | | | | | | | | |

Standardized Labelling for Direct Tests

One gene, two alleles, dominance inheritance

| Gen | e: COF | PA Common Name: Red | <u>/</u> ariant <u>R</u> ed | or Dominant | | | |
|------|--------|------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------|--|--|--|
| Genc | otype | Phenotype | WHFF | Designation | | | |
| | -71 | | Codes | in Name | | | |
| D | D | Red | VRS | RED | | | |
| D | d | Red | VRC | RED | | | |
| d | d | Black | VRF | | | | |
| | | Red | VRR* | RED | | | |
| * 1 | lot ge | notyped, Recorded and | confirmed b | y pedigree | | | |

One gene, <u>four</u> alleles, <u>recessive</u> inheritance

| Gene | : MC1R Tradi | tional Red or Re | cessive Red |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|------------------------|
| Genotype | Phenotype | WHFF Codes | Designation in Name |
| ED ED | Black | BKS | |
| ED EBR | Black | BRC BKC | |
| ED E+ | Black | RDC BKC | |
| E ^D e | Black | RDC BKC | |
| E ^{BR} E ^{BR} | Black / <mark>Red</mark> | BRS | |
| E ^{BR} E ⁺ | Black / Red | BRC RDC | |
| E ^{BR} e | Black / <mark>Red</mark> | BRC RDC | |
| E+ E+ | Red | RDS | RED |
| E+ e | Red | RDS | RED |
| e e | Red | RDS | RED |

Codes <u>should</u> describe the primary biological effect

| Genotype | Phenotype | Designation in Name | |
|----------|-----------|------------------------|-----|
| E+ E+ | Red | RDS | RED |
| E+ e | Red | RDS | RED |
| e e | Red | RDS | RED |

The primary biological effect is RED coat color

All **Reds** are grouped together as **RDS**, ignoring their different shades, they're red

One gene, three alleles, dominance inheritance

Friesian (P_f) and Celtic (P_c) alleles grouped together, <u>Primary biological effect</u>: polled cattle

| Gene: PO | LLED Common Nam | ne: Polled |
|---|-----------------|------------|
| Genotype | Phenotype | WHFF Codes |
| P* P* | Polled | POS |
| P* p | Polled | POC |
| рр | Horns | POF |
| recorded | Polled | POR |
| * Either P _f or P _c | | |

WHFF codes: genetic information is reduced to its primary biological effect

WHFF interprets the scientific results.

And then provides meaningful information for actionable data solutions

e.g. Buying, culling, mating

Domestic publication codes: information for the business part of dairy genetics

Provides a quick description

Allows animals to be categorized Carrier vs. Non-carrier



Publication codes Maximum info – Limited space

| Genotype | Phenotype | WHFF Codes | Publication Codes |
|----------|-----------|------------|----------------------|
| ΡP | Polled | POS | PP |
| Рр | Polled | РОС | PC |
| рр | Horns | POF | PF |
| recorded | Polled | POR | PO |

Publication code must cross reference to WHFF codes

Convey the most meaningful information Reductionism

| Gene: MC1R | Common Nar | ne: Traditional F | Red or Recessive Red | |
|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------------|---------------------------|-------------|
| Genotype for MC1R gene | Phenotype | Designation in | WHFF Direct Test Codes | Public |
| ED ED | Black | Name | | Not Red and |
| E ^D E ^{BR} | Black | | B/R | Homoz |
| $E^{\mathbf{D}} E^+$ | Black | | RC | |
| E ^D e | Black | | RC | |
| EBR EBR | Black / Red | | B/R | C |
| $E^{BR} E^+$ | Black / Red | | B/R RC | |
| E ^{BR} e | Black / Red | | B/R RC | |
| E+ E+ | Red | RED | | |
| E ⁺ e | Red | RED | | RED in |
| e e | Red | RED | | Homoz |

ation Code it's not a carrier ygous Black arriers the Name ygous RED

More concise,

blank conveys homozygous animal

Publish the most meaningful information

Transparency without clutter

Due to limited space, genetic traits must be prioritized for publication

| U.S. Registered Holsteins Holstein Asso | ociation USA, Inc. |
|---|--|
| Www.h | alsteinusa.com |
| 100% Registered Holst. MOUNTFIELD SSI DCY MOGUL-ET #2503 G 840003006972816 1009KHA-NA TR TP TC TY 7-00 93 EEVE GM 8/15 PTA +1236M +78F +40P 99%R12/2017 PTA +642NM +.118F +.018F 65NUS | ain Ancestry (RHA-NA) 7H011314 MOGUL 66/22/2010 MALE SELECT SIRES, INC. 11740 US HIGHWAY 42 N PLAIN CITY, OH 43064-9440 7614/873-4683 |
| PTA +3.9PL 3.00SCS1DPR 4.149CE PTA +2.20T 43.09UC +2.29FL 994R12/2017 PTA +159FE +0.4FI 6.04SCE D/AV 29664M 3.94F 1157F 3.14P 911P 83.27 | SANDY-VALLEY BOLTON-ET HD GTPI 99%R +1898 G USA 131823833 100%HA-NA BY TV TL 5-11 90 EE/V GM 4/11 09/11/2001 |
| | PTA +1204M +43F +31F 93FR12/201/ PTA +204NM01%F03%P 20%US PTA5FL 3.07SCS -4.0DPR 10.6%DCE PTA +1.32T +1.49UDC +.98FLC 99%R12/2017 |
| | COYNE-FARMS BRET DAFFERS-ET 100 GPU 92% USA 61319723 100%RHA-NA CV 5-07 91 EV+EE DOM 07/26/2004 |
| | PTA +301M +19F +9F 92&R12/2017 PTA +333NM +.03&F +.00&F PTA +5.4PL 2.75&CS +2.2DPR 7.4&DCE PTA +1.16T +1.15UDC +1.69FLC 91&R12/2017 AGE X DAYS MILK DCBW & FAT & FRT DCRC |
| Sov GTPI 9988 COYNE-FARMS DORCY-ET +22876 USA 139005002 100%RHA-NA BY TC TV TL TD 4-09 87 VVV+ 09/17/200 | $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ |
| PTA +1309M +23F +36P 99%R12/2017 PTA +478NM 09%F 01%P 3140S PTA +4.8PL 2.76SCS +.1DPR 8.4%DCE PTA +1.85T +3.02UDC+1.61FLC 99%R12/2017 PTA +74FE +0.4FI10.2%SCE | PASEN MARSH-ET 50K GTPI 99%R +16590 7 USA 130312332 100%RHA-NA TR TY TV TL 07/21/2000 |
| MOUNTFIELD MARSH MAXINE-ET 50K GTPI 92% USA 62784081 100%RHA-NA +2040 G 2-07 88 VV+VE DOM 04/23/2000 | : PTA +289M +8F +7P 99%R12/2017 : PTA +41NM -01%F -01%P 80%US PTA7PL 2.94SCS -2.7DPR 8.4%DCE 6 PTA +.57T +1.20UDC +1.07FLC 99%R12/2017 |
| PTA +113M +69F +23P 92%R12/2017 PTA +340NM +.24%F +07%P PTA +.94L 2.96%CS -2.7DPR 6.8%DCE PTA +1.13T +1.00UDC +1.30FLC 92%R12/2017 PTA +126FE -2.3FI 6.6%SCE -2.3FI 6.6%SCE | PINE-TREE MISSY MIRANDA-ET 3K GTPI 95&R +2052 G USA 61733095 100%RRA-NA 4-03 86 VVV+V DOM 05/01/2004 |
| AGE X DAYS MILK DCHM & FAT & PRT DCRC *** 2-03 2 159 14320 4.3 611 3.2 454 | PTA -193M +42F +23P 96%R12/2017 PTA +353NM +.18%F +.11%P PTA +4.1PL 2.83SCS +1.5DPR 7.2%DCE PTA +.07T16UDC +.93FLC 96%R12/2017 |
| | Augn A Darts Mills Link Fill E Fill E Fill E Fill E Fill E Fill C D Fill C C Fill C C C Fill C <thc< t<="" th=""></thc<> |
| | ** 7-10 3 305 27830 98 4.1 1153 3.2 899 81 LIFE 1539 122890 4.5 5535 3.6 4482 |

Physical traits: Carriers: Tested Free:

Coat Color, Horns Confirmed Carriers Ordered Most Prevalent to least Prevalent

Actionable Data I want to use this bull if he has certain characteristics and he doesn't have others

| | MOUNTFIE 84000300 7-00 93 | 5 LD SSI DCY MOGUL-ET 5972816 100%RHA-NA TR 5 EEVE GM 8/15 | 0K GTPI 99%R +2503 G TP TC TY |
|-------|---|---|--|
| | PTA +1 PTA +6 PTA +3 PTA +2 PTA +1 D/AV 29 | 236M +78F +40P 42NM +.11%F +.01%P .9PL 3.00SCS1DPR .20T +3.09UDC +2.29FLC 59FE +0.4FI 6.0%SCE 664M 3.9%F 1157F 3.1%P | 99%R12/2017 65%US 4.1%DCE 99%R12/2017 911P 83.2T |
| Са | ategory | Genetics | Comments |
| Phys | ical traits | Black, Horned | Traditional Holstein |
| | | | No mating |
| Carri | er Status | None identified | restrictions |

ALL genetic codes and haplotype information is available upon request.



Sometimes it's a written document

Based on the SNP genotype for these bulls available at Canadian Dairy Network (CDN), these animals are not carriers of the HH1, HH2, or HH3 haplotypes.

NAME SEMEN CODE - REGISTRATION #

| _ | . • | •• | • | ••• | _ | | | (|). | th | e | r · | ti | m | e | s it | ' | s an c |)r | n-lin | e, s | 6 | eard | C | ha | k | ole, o | dat | tab | 8 | se |
|-------------------|-----|-----|---|-----------|----|------|-----|--------|------|-----|---|------|----|---------|---|------|---|---------------|----|---------|-----------|---|-------|---|------|---|----------|------------|-------|-----|--------------|
| Enl | | g | / | \hat{n} | Į. | тм | I | POWERE | D BY | CL | A | RÎ | FÍ | / DE | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Official ID | Ţ | Brd | T | HH1 T | 'H | IH2 | T H | H3 | T H | H4 | T | HH5 | Ţ | CD | T | BLAD | Ţ | Citrullinemia | D | DUMPS 📍 | Factor XI | T | CVM T | B | achy | T | Mulefoot | ' Recessiv | e Red | T D | Jominant Red |
| HO840003139068646 | 1 | HO | | HH1T | Η | IH2T | Н | H3T | H | H4T | | HH5T | | | | HHBT | | | Н | IHDT | | | HHCT | Н | HOT | | HHMT | ED ED | | Н | IDR0 |
| HO840003139068578 | 1 | HO | | HH1T | Н | IH2T | Н | H3T | H | H4T | | HH5T | | | | HHBT | | | Н | IHDT | | | HHCT | H | HOT | | HHMT | ED ED | | Н | IDR0 |
| HO840003139068575 | | HO | | HH1T | Η | IH2T | Н | H3T | H | H4T | | HH5T | | | | HHBT | | | Н | IHDT | | | HHCT | Н | HOT | | HHMT | ED ED | | Н | IDR0 |
| HO840003139068573 | 1 | HO | | HH1T | Н | IH2T | Н | H3T | H | H4T | | HH5T | | | | HHBT | | | Н | IHDT | | | HHCT | Н | HOT | | HHMT | ED ED | | Н | IDR0 |
| HO840003139068555 | | HO | | HH1T | Н | IH2T | Н | H3T | H | H4T | | HH5T | | | | HHBT | | | Н | IHDT | | | HHCT | Н | HOT | | HHMT | ED ED | | Н | IDR0 |
| HO840003139068540 | 1 | HO | | HH1T | Н | IH2T | Н | H3T | H | H4T | | HH5T | | | | HHBT | | | H | HDT | | | HHCT | H | HOT | | HHMT | ED ED | | Н | IDR0 |

Haplotypes

GATTCACGCTTACTGTTTCACTGGAA

Causative variant is between SNPs

| | Indirect Tests | |
|---|---|---------------------------|
| 0 | Tested Free / non-carrier | |
| 1 | Tested Carrier / Heterozygous / confirmed with pedigree info | Newer mutations |
| 2 | Tested / Homozygous / confirmed with pedigree info | Can't tell Good haplotype |
| 3 | Suspected Carrier / could not be confirmed from pedigree | From the Bad haplotype |
| 4 | Suspected Homozygous/ could not be confirmed from pedigree | |

Do haplotypes simply bridge the time until the causative mutation is discovered? OR Do haplotypes represent a <u>new class</u> of undesirable genetic traits?



Even when the causative variant is known, a definitive SNP has not been added

| | Causative Variant Known | Royalty fee | Used in SNP chip |
|-------------|-------------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Brachyspina | Yes | Yes | No |
| HH1 | Yes | No | Yes |
| HH2 | No | | No |
| HH3 | Yes | No | No |
| HH4 | Yes | No | No |
| HH5 | Yes | No | No |

Timing Population needs time for good and bad alleles to segregate Then purge the undesirable alleles

| | Announced | Prominent Bulls |
|-----|-------------|--------------------------|
| HH1 | August 2011 | Past |
| HH2 | August 2011 | Past |
| HH3 | August 2011 | Current – O Man |
| HH4 | August 2013 | Current - Besne Buck |
| HH5 | August 2013 | Current - Shottle |
| HCD | August 2015 | Current – Storm, Goldwyn |

Balancing genetic gain and diversity with "time" to reduce frequency of undesirable alleles



"Newer" mutations take longer to reduce in frequency



We're going to find more genetic traits

- Pre-genomics farmer reported
- Genomics wide scale genotyping
- Sequencing find causative variants
- Improved phenotypes actively looking
 Heifer survival data, French national observatory on genetic defects (ONAB)

How many inherited disorders do we expect to find?

Using whole genome sequence data

Aim was to characterize the genetic load of 15 European breeds using data from the 1000 bull genomes consortium.

Michot et al, 2016

1,341 non-rare putative deleterious variants Holstein, few are unique, most shared with other breeds



How often? What do we do?

One in five animals are expected to be a carrier of a genetic defect causing early embryonic loss.

VanRaden and Miller, 2006

One in two: Estimated by simulation that cattle might carry, on average, ~0.5 recessive embryonic lethal mutation. *Charlier et al.* 2016

What do we do? Resulting information will be useful to <u>avoid at-risk matings</u> *Charlier et al. 2016*Broken genes: The sensible approach is to manage the matings in such a way as to <u>avoid the pairing</u> of carrier animals.

Dorian Garrick, 2013

Manage haplotype carriers or eliminate them?

- Provide breeders with information to maximize profitability in their herds through the use of superior genetics.
- We have very few policies restricting the use of superior genetics.*
- We do advise breeders to manage undesirable genetic conditions through a proper mating program.

*At least 19 countries have "health" laws excluding carriers of defects



Holstein Association USA encourages breeders to pay attention to pedigrees, work to learn the status of their animals, be mindful of the status of service sires in their herd, and avoid mating carriers of individual haplotypes to carriers of the same haplotype.

Breed associations need to categorize genetic traits

- <u>Traditional:</u> Traits with distinctive characteristics
 - Breed characteristics: Color, horns
 - ➢ Physical deformities: CVM, Brachyspina, CD
- Management Level
 - >Embryonic mortality
 - >Non-specific: Poor health, multiple pathways
 - >Late onset: Vision loss, heart malformations
- <u>Predicted</u> loss-of-function
 - De-novo mutations in elite animals

Breed associations need databases to be cross referenced



Service requires financial support

For example: OMIM Donation Request

Dear OMIM User,

At the request of the NIH and to ensure long-term funding for the OMIM project, we must diversify our revenue stream. We are determined to keep this website freely accessible. Unfortunately, it is not free to produce. Expert curators review the literature and organize it to facilitate your work. Over 90% of the OMIM's operating expenses go to salary support for MD and PhD science writers and biocurators. Please consider making a donation now and again in the future. We need long-term secure funding to provide you the information that you need at your fingertips.

Thank you in advance for your generous support, Ada Hamosh, MD, MPH Scientific Director, OMIM

The future is not that much different than the past.

- What is the mode of inheritance for this trait?
- Is this genetic trait prevalent in my breed?
- What category of genetic trait is this?

How best can I get information on new genetic traits to the breeders?

Questions?

